

Mythbusters

Canadian Health Services Research **Foundation Fondation** canadienne de la recherche sur les services de santé

...making research work

A series of essays giving the research evidence

behind Canadian healthcare debates

Myth Busted June 2005

Doctor's office



Myth: Canada has a communist-style healthcare system

mazingly, Canada joins Cuba and North Korea as countries where it is a crime to provide and accept private payment for health-care services ostensibly provided by the government.

Is private provision of healthcare a crime in Canada? Is it illegal to pay for healthcare out of your own pocket? Many critics of Canadian healthcare argue the answer to both these questions is "yes" and claim that every time a patient waits for a service or access to technology, it is a result of Canada's communist-style healthcare system.

The truth is Canada does not have a governmentfunded and -operated monopoly, but rather a series of taxpayer-funded insurance schemes for hospital care and certain doctors' services, supplemented by private insurance and outof-pocket payments for other health services. While there are some restrictions on what private insurance can cover, many types of private payment are allowed for many healthcare services.

Comrades in care?

The first thing to note is that governments do not provide the majority of healthcare in Canada. Most hospitals are owned by not-for-profit organizations, such as community and religious groups. Physicians are not civil servants; most of them are not even employees, but rather independent entrepreneurs able, in many provinces, to incorporate themselves as businesses for tax purposes. ii, iii

Canadian governments do fund most healthcare services through provincial insurance plans, including all medically necessary hospital and physician care, as required by the Canada Health Act. However, a sizeable amount of healthcare spending is private — approximately 30 percent in 2002, according to the Organisation for



Is private payment for healthcare illegal in Canada?

The argument that Canada and the communist countries of Cuba and North Korea are the only ones in the world to disallow private payment for healthcare originated with a rhetorical piece written in the late 1990s, but it has grown to the status of urban myth.^{vii}

It is true that regulations in six of the 10 provinces make private insurance illegal for the physician and hospital services covered by provincial insurance plans. (And even in the four provinces that do allow this private insurance, little use is made of the provision in practice.) However, every province allows patients to use their own money to privately purchase medically necessary care, as long as it is delivered by "opted out" private doctors — those who have given up their right to get paid from the provincial public plan. The intent of this is to make sure doctors are not getting double-paid — once from the provincial plan and then again from private insurance or the patient — for medically necessary, taxpayer-funded services. viii It also prevents the diversion of resources, both financial and human, to the private system, which would place further stress on the public one.ix, x

1565 Carling Ave., Bureau/Suite 700, Ottawa, Ontario K1Z 8R1 T: (613) 728-2238 F: (613) 728-3527



Canada is not the only country to place restrictions on private insurance, either. In Australia, for example, private insurance does not cover physician services provided outside of a hospital, nor does it cover the gap between what hospital care costs and what medicare will pay.^{xi}

Are international "solutions" less monopolistic?

Systems in other countries are often held up as examples of how Canada should reduce its alleged healthcare monopoly. In particular, France's healthcare system is lauded as a model for how Canada might "introduce" private payment and improve the healthcare system without giving up universal access.

In France and some other European countries, every citizen is covered by a sickness fund administered by the government. The funds partially reimburse patients for medical care, including hospital and GP visits, prescription drugs, nursing home use, and some dental and vision care. Most people also purchase supplementary insurance to cover co-payments and care categories with lower reimbursement levels. xii

The irony is that, overall, public financing covers 76 percent of all health expenditures in France — six percent more than the amount of public financing in Canada. V, xiii Unfortunately, the decision to concentrate private payment in co-payments and other direct charges appears to cause some people, particularly the poor, to avoid seeking expensive but necessary forms of care. Xiv

The true cost of healthcare

One of the main reasons the Canada Health Act requires public payment for medically necessary healthcare is to obtain the economic efficiencies of a single-payer system. Tax-funded systems have greater potential (not always realized) to control costs than multi-payer systems, xv, xvi and research shows the more insurers there are, the higher the costs of running the system. For example, the annual overhead costs of the provincial insurance plans are 1.3 percent of expenditures for physicians and hospitals, while Canada's private insurers average 13.2 percent in administrative costs. xvii

Tax-funded insurance plans have important social benefits as well. First, they are less regressive, in that they play a "Robin Hood" role of levelling out income gaps to ensure poorer and sicker people are not denied care. XY Second, they ensure that insurance is offered for all medically necessary services, not just the profitable ones. XY iii

Clearly, the argument that Canada has a communist-style healthcare system that outlaws private payment is false. With 30 percent of healthcare spending coming from private sources, Canada is on par with most other developed countries, each of which has a complex mix of public and private financing in different sectors. While private insurance for publicly covered services is largely illegal, there is nothing to stop patients from paying out of their own pockets if they can find a doctor who is not part of the public plan. Viii

Mythbusters are prepared by know-ledge transfer and exchange staff at the Canadian Health Services Research Foundation and published only after review by researcher experts on the topic. © CHSRF 2005

References

- Pipes SC. 2004. Miracle cure: How to solve America's health-care crisis and why Canada isn't the answer. Pacific Research Institute: www.pacificresearch.org/pub/sab/health/2004/ Miracle_Cure/Miracle_Cure.pdf.
- Kirby MJL. 2002. The health of Canadians The federal role: Final report, volume six: Recommendations for reform. Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology. www.parl.gc.ca/37/2/parlbus/commbus/senate/ com-e/soci-e/rep-e/repoct02vol6-e.htm.
- iii. Naylor CD. 1986. Private practice, public payment. McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2004. OECD health data 2004. www.oecd.org.
- Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2005.
 Drug expenditure in Canada, 1985-2004. www.cihi.ca.
- vi. Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2004. Health care in Canada, 2004. www.cihi.ca.
- vii. Gratzer D. 1998. "Wanted: Credible health care analysis." Fraser Institute Canadian Student Review; 7(2); http://oldfraser.lexi.net/publications/csr/1998/ september/health_care_analysis.html.
- viii. Flood CM and T Archibald. 2001. "The illegality of private health care in Canada." Canadian Medical Association Journal; 164(6): 825-830.
- ix. Bulstrode C. 1995. "Embarrassing greed?" British Medical Journal; 310(6973): 198-199.
- x. Jackman M. 2005 (forthcoming). "Misdiagnosis or cure? Charter review of the health care system." In Flood CM, ed. Frontiers of fairness: How Canadians determine the limits of medicare. University of Toronto Press.
- xi. Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing. 2004. "What doesn't private health insurance cover?" www.health.gov.au/internet/wcms/publishing.nsf/Content/health-privatehealth-consfaq-whatnocover.htm.
- xii. Rodwin VG. 2003. "The healthcare system under French national health insurance: Lessons for health reform in the United States." American Journal of Public Health; 93(1): 31-37.
- xiii. Polton D. 2005. "Lost in translation." Globe and Mail; February 8; A19.
- xiv. Lancry P-J and S Sandier. 1999. "Rationing health care in France." Health Policy; 50(1-2): 23-38.
- xv. Evans RG. 2002. "Financing health care: Taxation and the alternatives." In Mossialos E et al., eds. Funding health care: Options for Europe. Buckingham: Open University Press, 39-58. www.euro.who.int/document/e74485.pdf.
- xvi. Kirby MJL. 2002. The health of Canadians —
 The federal role: Interim report, volume five: Principles and recommendations for reform. Standing Senate Committee on Social Affairs, Science and Technology. www.parl.gc.ca/37/1/parlbus/commbus/senate/com-e/soci-e/rep-e/repapr02vol5-e.htm#A%20Reform %20Based%20on%20Fundamental%20Realities.
- xvii. Woolhandler S et al. 2003. "Costs of health care administration in the United States and Canada." New England Journal of Medicine; 349(8): 768-775.
- xviii. Deber R et al. 1999. "Why not private health insurance?
 2. Actuarial principles meet provider dreams." Canadian Medical Association Journal: 161(5): 545-547.